REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-5 are pending in the application; reexamination and reconsideration are hereby requested.

1. Claims 1-3 and 5 were rejected as anticipated by Rhee. The Examiner pointed to Rhee Figures 1 and 4-6.

Applicant replies that Rhee adjusts the number of FEC packets (i.e., parity packets) for periodic frames according to the transmission channel conditions (Rhee column 9, line 54 to column 10, line 3). In contrast, claims 1 and 5 use the transmission channel conditions to determine the video coding: the frequency of encoding a frame as an I-frame versus as a repeated P-frame. That is, Rhee takes as a given the video coding (the rate of periodic frames) and adjusts the parity, but claims 1 and 5 adjust the video coding. Consequently, Rhee does not suggest the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

/Carlton H. Hoel/

Carlton H. Hoel
Reg. No. 29,934
Texas Instruments Incorporated
PO Box 655474, M/S 3999
Dallas, Texas  75265
972.917.4365